

1 Michele R. Stafford, Esq. (SBN 172509)
2 Blake E. Williams, Esq. (SBN 233158)
3 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
4 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110
5 San Francisco, CA 94104
6 (415) 882-7900
7 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile
8 mstafford@sjlawcorp.com
9 bwilliams@sjlawcorp.com

10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

11

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

13

14 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 NORTHERN CALIFORNIA GLAZIERS,
16 ARCHITECTURAL METAL AND GLASS
17 WORKERS PENSION TRUST FUND, et al.,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 SANDMAN GLASS, INC., a California
21 corporation,

22 Defendant.

Case No.: C12-1791 JSW

23 **REQUEST TO CONTINUE CASE
24 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;**

25 **and [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREON
26 AS MODIFIED**

27 Date: December 14, 2012

28 Time: 1:30 p.m.

Dept.: 11, 19th Floor

Judge: The Honorable Jeffrey S. White

27

28 Plaintiffs hereby submit their Case Management Conference Statement as follows:

29 1. As the Court's records will reflect, this action was filed on April 10, 2012 (Dkt. #1)
30 to compel Defendant's compliance with its collective bargaining agreement. Service on Defendant
31 was effectuated on June 29, 2012. A Proof of Service of Summons was filed with the Court (Dkt.
32 #10). Defendant failed to file an Answer, and the Clerk entered Defendant's default on September
33 18, 2012 (Dkt. #19).

34 2. Since then, the parties reached a settlement by way of a Judgment Pursuant to
35 Stipulation providing for a payment plan to allow Defendant to bring their account current by
36 making monthly payments to Plaintiffs. The Stipulation was filed with the Court on October 17,
37 2012 (Dkt. #21), and the Court issued a responsive Order (Dkt. #22) thereafter, stating that the
38 Stipulation was not acceptable because corporations may only appear in federal courts through
their licensed counsel.

3. Accordingly, Defendant provided Plaintiffs' counsel with the contact information
for their attorney. Plaintiffs' counsel sent multiple emails to Defendant's attorney, but did not
receive any response. Plaintiffs' counsel then discovered that Defendant's attorney had changed
law firms, and left a voicemail message for Defendant's attorney today.

5 4. Since the Court continued the last Case Management Conference, which was
6 scheduled for November 16, 2012, counsel for both parties have been in contact, and have reached
7 an agreement to sign an amended Stipulation. Plaintiffs' counsel anticipates filing the fully
8 executed Stipulation with the Court within one week.

9 5. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Case Management Conference, currently
10 scheduled for December 14, 2012, be continued for thirty (30) days to allow time for the parties to
11 file the amended Stipulation with the Court, and for entry of Judgment.

12 6. There are no issues that need to be addressed by the parties at the currently
13 scheduled Case Management Conference. In the interest of conserving costs as well as the Court's
14 time and resources, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the currently scheduled
15 Case Management Conference.

16 I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the attorney for the Plaintiffs in the above
17 entitled action, and that the foregoing is true of my own knowledge.

18 || Executed this 7th day of December, 2012, at San Francisco, California.

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON
LAW CORPORATION

21 By: _____ /S/
22 Blake E. Williams
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.
24 The Court VACATES the case management conference. If the parties are unable to document
25 ~~The currently set Initial Case Management Conference is hereby continued to~~
26 _____ at _____. All related deadlines are hereby extended accordingly.
Date: December 10, 2012 their settlement, Plaintiffs may request that this matter be placed on
calendar for a status conference.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
Jeffrey S. White

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

-2-